

# NEW YORK VERDICT



**The NYAG sued to destroy the NRA and seize its assets. The NYAG lost that bid before the jury entered the box, because its political prosecution violated the First Amendment.**



**So, after vowing to wield state power against the NRA, at trial Letitia James was in the opposite posture: suing to recover funds for, not from, the Association.**



**In that pursuit, James did manage to obtain a jury verdict of \$6 million in the NRA's favor for dated abuses of the Association by a few long ago terminated vendors and insiders. In fact, the NYAG was forced to drop every single claim alleging "whistleblower retaliation" against lower-level NRA employees, along with several allegations concerning insider transactions.**



**Although a few allegations about whistleblowers and "related party" transactions survived, the NYAG conceded that the NRA adopted an unassailable whistleblower policy after 2020. And of 10 related-party transactions of which the NRA was accused at trial, the jury found that the NRA Audit Committee properly reviewed and ratified 8 of them.**



**The NYAG did sue one current NRA executive: Secretary and General Counsel John Frazer. The jury ruled against the NYAG, finding no cause to remove him.**

**The NYAG did not bother to contest what the evidence showed: that political animus drove this lawsuit and other New York government hostilities.**

**The jury was not permitted to rule on what legal effect, if any, the government's viewpoint-based animus should have. But the United States Supreme Court will hear a related case brought by the NRA against New York officials next month.**

**The NRA looks forward to concluding these proceedings – eager to discuss its record of good governance for the better part of the last decade.**